

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-46703 of 2017
Date of Decision: 13.12.2017

Sunil @ Kala

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present:- Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.581 dated 21.08.2017 under Section 379-A IPC registered at Police Station Samalkha, District Panipat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the allegation against the petitioner is that he along with co-accused has snatched the mobile phone of the complainant and ran away. The petitioner is in custody since 11.09.2017 and no recovery is required to be effected from him. Considering the fact that the petitioner is not involved in any other case and trial in the case will take long time, he may be released on bail.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Balesh,

submits that the mobile phone snatched by the petitioner has been

recovered from him and no recovery is required to be effected from him.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the fact that the only allegation against the petitioner is snatching of mobile phone and he is in custody since 11.09.2017, coupled with the fact that trial in the case will take long time, I deem it appropriate to release the petitioner on regular bail.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted on regular bail, subject to furnishing of their bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

It is made clear that the observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as any expression on the merits of the case.

December 13, 2017
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No