

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-46520-2017

Date of decision: 06.12.2017

Uma Shankar and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

PRESENT: Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

1. In the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for issuance of a direction to respondents No. 1 and 2 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5 and also respondent No. 3 who is working at the instance of private respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner at the very outset contends that the purpose of the instant petition would be achieved, if, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Rohtak, is directed to look into representation dated 30.11.2017 (Annexure P-3) moved by the petitioners and do the needful.

3. In view of the above, respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Rohtak, is directed to look into the representation Annexure P-3 and, if necessary, do the needful, with the condition that the petitioners would co-operate in the investigation against Rahul Shankar, who is none-else, but

son of petitioners No. 1 and 2, husband of petitioner No. 3 and father of petitioners No. 4 and 5, involved in FIR No. 442 dated 30.08.2017, under Sections 323 and 506 IPC and Sections 3 and 4 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, as well as in another murder case bearing FIR No. 614 dated 14.11.2017, under Sections 302 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, Police Station Civil Lines, Rohtak.

4. The instant petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

December 06, 2017

rishu

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No