

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

221

CRM-M-46244-2017

Date of Decision:13.12.2017

Sandeep Singh @ Rinku and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Mr. B.S. Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, A.A.G., Punjab.

Ms. Isha Goyal, Advocate,
for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.270 dated 30.05.2017 under Sections 457, 380, 436, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Amritsar, District Amritsar.

As per prosecution version, FIR was registered on the basis of statement made by complainant-Sandeep Dhawan, who was running a cloth shop under the name and style of K.C. Prints, situated at Kapoor Road, Amritsar. The petitioners-accused were working with the complainant as salesmen for the last about 7-8 months. On the intervening night of 7/8.05.2017, the shop had caught fire and this fact was brought to the notice of the complainant by the Chowkidar. Thereafter, a fire brigade reached

the spot where neighbours had already gathered. The complainant

enquired as to how the shop had caught fire and came to know that the petitioners and their friend Sahil Kumar connived with each other and put the shop on fire. When the fire was put out, the complainant ventured into his shop and found that the accused had also taken away ₹70,000/- and clothes from the shop.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners were never noticed by the complainant and in the initial complaint made by the complainant, petitioners were never named. They have been falsely implicated in the case.

On the other hand, learned State Counsel has argued that during the course of investigation, recovery of ₹12,000/- each from both the petitioners has been effected along with two ladies suits each.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Taking into consideration the recovery and the allegations, no case for grant of regular bail to the petitioners is made out.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

December 13, 2017
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No