

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-46228 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 11.12.2017.

Parvinder

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Jamshed Ahmed, Advocate for
Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG Haryana.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C seeking bail in case FIR No.107 dated 22.08.2017 registered under Sections 343, 376 and 506 IPC at Police Station Woman,, District Nuh (Mewat).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He refers to Annexure P-3, statement of the prosecutrix to contend that the prosecutrix is major. She is residing in the house of the petitioner with her own free will. It is further contended that the petitioner is in custody since 23.08.2017.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the prosecutrix is residing at the residence of the petitioner; the challan stands already presented, the petitioner is in custody since 23.08.2017, charges are yet to be framed; therefore, it can be safely inferred that the completion of trial will take a long time so, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/duty Magistrate concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

11.12.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No