

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46128 of 2017
Date of Decision: 04.12.2017

Anju

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: - Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been made for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 to 5 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from respondents No.6 to 9.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the purpose would be achieved if respondent No.4 – Superintendent of Police, Hisar, is directed to look into representation dated 30.11.2017 (Annexure P-2) moved by the petitioner.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the paper-book, but without commenting on merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 – Superintendent of Police, Hisar, to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 30.11.2017 (Annexure P-2) after hearing the petitioner by passing a speaking order.

December 04, 2017
R.S.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No