

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-46040-2017
Date of decision: 08.12.2017**

Mandeep @ Bona

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Naren Pratap Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Ramender Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P. P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 469 dated 16.12.2016, under Sections 366, 342, 328, 376-D, 382 and 506 of the IPC, registered at Police Station Tosham, District Bhiwani.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is the friend of one Anil who solemnized marriage with the daughter of the complainant. It is also argued that the challan has been put up and the investigation in this matter is complete and the petitioner is not required for any further interrogation. It is also contended that co-accused, namely Monu and Vikas, have already been granted regular bail by orders dated 09.10.2017 & 14.11.2017, passed by this Court in CRM-M-29257-2017 and CRM-M-41655-2017, respectively. It is further submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 15.03.2017 and he should be enlarged on regular bail as the trial is likely to take some time.

Mr. P. P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana, has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and contested the bail application. He opposes the grant of bail to the petitioner, however, he is not in a position to dispute the fact that investigation is complete and co-accused have already been granted regular bail.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since the petitioner is in custody since 15.03.2017; co-accused have already been granted regular bail and the trial is likely to take some time, no useful purpose would be served in keeping him in custody furthermore. Hence, the present petition is accepted. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, any opinion expressed herein is for the purpose of grant of regular bail and not an opinion on the merits of the case.

08.12.2017

Waseem Ansari

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No