

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

109

CRM-M-460-2017

DATE OF DECISION:11.01.2017

ANITA KUMARI AND ANR.

... Petitioners

V.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking direction to respondents No.2 and 3 for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend threat from respondents No.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the date of birth of the petitioner No.1 is 02.08.1992 while the date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 15.08.1990 and the copies of the Aadhaar cards in support thereof, are annexed as Annexures P-1 and P-2 respectively.

The petitioners are stated to have solemnized their marriage on 09.01.2017 and the photographs in this regard are annexed as Annexure P-3. It is further stated in the petition that the petitioners had also made a representation dated 09.01.2017 (Annexure P-4) to the Superintendent of Police, Panipat but no action has so far been taken thereon.

Issue notice to the respondents No.1 to 3.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Tanu Singh Dutta, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Without expressing any opinion on the validity of the marriage and

Singh Vs. State of U.P and another, JT 2006 (6) SC 173, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Panipat-respondent No.2 to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

January 11, 2017

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes / No