

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRR-2256-2012 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.07.2017

Raj Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

Avedh Daya Nand

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldeep Singh

Present: None for the parties

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Perusal of the file shows that none has appeared for the petitioner for the last five dates. Today is the sixth date. Therefore, this Court proceeds to decide the revision after examining the file.

The petitioner has been convicted under Section 138 Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 and sentenced to undergo Rigorous Imprisonment for six months and to pay a fine of Rs.1,000/-, in default thereof, to undergo further Rigorous Imprisonment for 15 days by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Dasuya. Appeal filed by the petitioner was dismissed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Hoshiarpur on 14.7.2012. The perusal of the judgments of both the Courts below shows that the present petitioner had taken a loan of Rs.1,50,000/- from the complainant in cash and in order to discharge his liability he issued a cheque for Rs.1,50,000/- on 21.10.2008. The cheque was dishonoured. Before lower Court, the complainant proved his case. Both the Courts below have given

reasoning in support of the judgments. There are concurrent findings of two Courts below. I do not find any illegality or infirmity in the same. Hence, the present revision is dismissed.

18.07.2017
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No