

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

219

CRM-M-46847-2017

DATE OF DECISION:02.08.2017

VARINDER SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

V.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Grewal, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Rahul Dev Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for respondents No.4 to 9.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect his life and liberty as he has been threatened by respondents No.4 to 9.

It is the case of the petitioner that the petitioner and daughter of respondent No.4 were studying together in college in 2011 at Patiala. The private respondents came to know about their relationship in 2013 and they had beaten up the petitioner and forcibly took the girl to their house. The matter was resolved with the intervention of the Panchayat with the compromise that the petitioner will not meet the girl again. It is further stated that the private respondents had again beaten up the petitioner in the year 2014. The petitioner has also referred to FIR No.227 lodged on 13.11.2016 at Muzaffar Nagar at the instance of the petitioner and his aunt, wherein it is stated that the private respondents who had come in their car, had threatened the petitioner and then fled from the spot.

A coordinate Bench of this Court, while issuing notice to the respondents on 02.01.2017, had directed that in the meantime, if the petitioner approaches respondents No.2 and 3 for protection of his life and liberty then they were to provide him necessary protection, if the circumstances so require.

Status report in the form of affidavit of DSP (Rural), Patiala has been filed, wherein it is stated that in compliance with the interim order of the Court dated 02.01.2017, enquiry was conducted by the DSP (Rural) and the statements of the concerned parties were recorded. After enquiry, it transpired that the petitioner while studying with the girl from the year 2011 to 2013, had started harassing her and wanted to marry her forcibly. Due to harassment by the petitioner, the girl had stopped studying in the college. On the complaint of the girl, FIR No.180 dated 24.12.2016 under Sections 354 D/506 IPC has been registered at Police Station Sadar Patiala. The petitioner was arrested in the case and now after investigation, the challan has been filed in the competent Court on 17.02.2017. It is further stated that the allegations levelled by the petitioner in the application before the police have been found to be false and no further action is required to be taken.

It is thus apparent that the petitioner has been allegedly harassing the daughter of respondent No.4 and he is facing trial in the FIR registered against him and the matter had been duly enquired by the DSP (Rural). Consequently, I do not find any merit in the instant petition which stands dismissed.

August 02, 2017

SwarnjitS

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No