

CRM No. M-45861 of 2017

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-45861 of 2017  
Date of Decision : 02.12.2017

Priya and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Uday Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioners.

\*\*\*

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioners are seeking a direction to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from respondents No. 4 to 6.

It is stated in the petition that the petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of the private respondents and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause them physical harm.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that inadvertently Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar has been impleaded as respondent No. 2 instead of Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

At the oral request of learned counsel for the petitioners, respondent No. 2 shall be read as Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar instead of Senior Superintendent of Police,

Jalandhar.

Registry is directed to carry out the necessary correction in the memo of parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn my attention to the representation sent to respondent No. 2 i.e. Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, dated 29.11.2017 (Annexure P-4).

Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Keeping in view the mandate of the Supreme Court in the case of *Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another*, JT 2006(6) SC 173, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

December 02, 2017.  
*kanchan*

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned ?*      *Yes*

*Whether reportable ?*                      *No*