

251

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.46655 of 2016
Date of Decision: 10.11.2017**

Partap Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Manvinder Singh Bal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, A.A.G., Punjab,
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Bhim Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are accused in F.I.R. No. 22, dated 30.03.2015, under Sections 307, 323, 324, 341, 427, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, however ultimately challan was submitted only under Sections 324, 323, 341, 427 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sekhwan, Police District Batala, Gurdaspur, (Annexure P-1), have prayed

for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Batala, vide report dated 11.07.2017, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his Counsel and he does not oppose the compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Batala, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "**Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another**", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No. 22, dated 30.03.2015, under Sections 307, 323, 324, 341, 427, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, however ultimately challan was submitted only under Sections 324, 323, 341, 427 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station

Sekhwan, Police District Batala, Gurdaspur, (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

10.11.2017

Apurva

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No