

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-46610-2016

Date of decision: February 07, 2017.

Mukesh Kumar

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana

... **Respondent**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Shri Rajesh Sethi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral):

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail in FIR No.196 dated 29.03.2016 registered under Sections 22C/27A/29 of NDPS Act at Police Station Ratia, District Fatehabad.

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

On 21.12.2016, this court has decided CRM-M-33313-2016 titled Honey Kumar @ Honey Jain versus State of Haryana and granted bail to the petitioner therein i.e. Honey Kumar. Relying on the reasons therein this court is intended to grant concession of bail to the petitioner herein also.

Learned State counsel further submitted that insofar as the present petitioner is concerned he is implicated because there is disclosure statement by Honey Kumar who is petitioner in the aforesaid Criminal Petition.

In my opinion since the main accused Honey Kumar himself has been granted bail by me, the disclosure statement as stated by learned State counsel, would not be sufficient to deny the relief of bail to the petitioner.

Hence, I make the following order:

ORDER

- (i) CRL. MISC. No.M-46610 OF 2016 is allowed.
- (ii) The petitioner be released on bail to the satisfaction of the concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate.
- (iii) However, the observations made herein shall not prejudice the Court below.

February 07, 2017.
kadyan

[A.B. Chaudhari]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No