

215

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46512 of 2016

Date of decision: March 02, 2017

Harninder Singh alias Shinda

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Sahil Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. AG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.49 dated 27.04.2015, under Sections 18, 61, 85 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substance Act, 1985, registered at Police Station Sadar Phagwara, District Kapurthala, the petitioner seeks regular bail.

Learned State counsel submits that the quantity seized was 6 kg of opium which is far above of the stipulated commercial quantity. She further submits that the next date fixed before the trial Court is 09.03.2017 for evidence.

I have seen the report received from the Judge Special Court, Kapurthala. Despite warrants, the official witnesses are not appearing before the Court. It is made clear that if the official witnesses do not appear before the Court, the trial Court would be at liberty to call upon the concerned SSP to make them present before the

Court, on the next date of hearing, i.e. 09.03.2017.

Petition stands dismissed.

A copy of this order be given to the learned State counsel
under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

March 02, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No