

Cr.P.C having been allowed.

The notice of motion order carrying even date i.e. 19.2.2015, passed by this Court in both these petitions reads as follows:-

“It is the contention of learned counsel that the entire incident was captured in the CCTV camera of the College and none of the petitioners was found at the spot and that is why police had rightly absolved them of any involvement. Apart therefrom the MLR reveals only one fatal stab injury and two simple injuries which is completely inconsistent with the allegations. On the oral request of learned counsel complainant namely Sunny son of Bharath Singh, r/o Bhagal, P.S.Cheeka, District Kaithal, is impleaded as respondent No.2. Registry to make necessary correction in the memo of parties.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Raj Kumar Makkad, DAG, Haryana, on the asking of the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to supply a copy of the petition to the learned D.AG during the course of the day. He also undertakes to serve newly added respondent No.2 by way of dasti process. Dasti only.

Adjourned to 16.03.2015.

In the meantime let the petitioners appear before the trial Court on the date fixed and the trial Court shall release them on interim bail subject to further orders from this Court.”

It has gone uncontroverted that the petitioners have since appeared before the Trial Court on 24.2.2015 and even charges stand framed. That apart the observations made by this Court in the notice of motion order have gone unrebutted.

Under such circumstances, both the petitions are allowed.
Order dated 19.2.2015 passed in both the connected petitions, is made absolute.

Petitions disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

21.02.2017
lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No