

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRL. MISC. No.M-46342 OF 2016
DATE OF DECISION : 15th MARCH, 2017

Harjinder Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI

* * * *

Present : Mr. Sarju Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.
 Mr. Daljeet Singh Virk, DAG, Punjab.
 Mr. Neeraj Madan, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (ORAL)

Rule heard forthwith with consent of learned counsel for the parties.

In pending appeal since the petitioner did not appear before the appellate Court on 16.11.2016 in respect of conviction under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, the bail bonds were cancelled by the appellate Court. The petitioner seeks restoration of his bail bonds which has been cancelled by the appellate court by the impugned order.

Without finding any fault with the order of the appellate Court, I think the petitioner should be given a chance on the same set of bail bonds which have already been furnished.

The petition is therefore allowed in the above terms.

15th MARCH, 2017
‘raj’

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>