

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-45438 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: December 05, 2017

Gajender @ Gautam

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. N.S. Shekhawat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.503 dated 13.09.2017, under Sections 306, 34 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station City Narnaul, District Mahendergarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner herein was taken into custody in the aforesaid FIR on 04.10.2017. It is argued that petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case. It is also contended that investigation is complete, as the challan has been presented and charges have been framed, therefore, petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent-State, on instructions from Investigating Officer, opposes the grant of

regular bail, while submitting that challan has been presented and charges have been framed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since, the trial is likely to take some time and in view of the facts that challan has been presented and charges have already been framed, no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petitioner behind bars. At this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

December 05, 2017

vijay saini

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No