

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46299 of 2016
Date of Decision:15.03.2017

Navjot Kaur & Anr.PETITIONERS

Vs.

State of Punjab and othersRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr.Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH J. (ORAL)

Though none is present for the petitioners, however, learned State counsel, on instructions from Head Constable-Malkiat Singh, submits that the statements of respondents No. 4 and 5, i.e. the father and mother of petitioner No.1, have been recorded, to the effect that the said respondents are reconciled to the marriage of the petitioners to each other.

As per the police of Police Station, Bhadaur, District Barnala, there is no threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this petition may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, but with a direction issued to respondents No. 2 and 3 to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners remains protected, as per law.

Ordered, accordingly.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

15.03.2017

Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No