

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-46289 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 01.03.2017

Gagandeep Singh

--Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Harinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harpreet K. Athwal, D.A.G., Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C praying for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case F.I.R. No.23 dated 5.12.2016 under sections 409, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B I.P.C and sections 7, 13 (d) (C) (D) read with Section 13 (2) of Prevention of Corruption Act, registered at Police Station, Vigilance Bureau, Bathinda, District Bathinda.

Essentially the allegations are with regard to benefit of compensation on account of damage to the crop of Narma having been taken by certain farmers, whereas such crop had not even been sown.

Allegations are against the revenue official i.e. Inder Pal Singh, Patwari having acted in connivance with the present petitioner, who was the Lambardar for preparing a wrong Special Girdawari Report.

Even though, counsel for the petitioner would contend that the only role of a Lambardar was to identify the farmer and not towards preparation of the Special Girdawari Report, yet, there is no escape from the fact that as a matter of routine practice a Lambardar would not only identify the farmers but also his fields.

Confronted with such a situation, where this Court is not inclined to grant in favour of the petitioner benefit of bail, counsel prays for withdrawal of the instant petition.

Prayer is allowed.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

01.03.2017

lucky

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No