

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-45342-2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 30.11.2017

Rajat Saini and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioners in person with Mr. Vishal Khatri, Advocate, for,
Mr. D.D. Sharma, Advocate.

Respondents No. 4 and 5 in person with
Mr. A.S. Gulati, Advocate

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondents No. 4 and 5 have appeared of their own. On their request, they were allowed to talk with petitioner No. 2 in Court today for about 15 minutes, after which they stated that petitioner No. 2 is insisting on accompanying petitioner No. 1.

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 27.11.2017. The certificate of the marriage has been placed on file as Annexure-P-5. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure-P-6. The true typed copies Aadhar Cards alongwith sworn affidavits, showing the date of birth of petitioner No. 1 and petitioner No. 2, have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 to P-4 respectively.

CRM No. M-45342-2017 (O/M)

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 27.11.2017 (Annexure-P-7) before the police authorities for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar-respondent No. 2, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 27.11.2017 (Annexure-P-7) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners. However, this order shall not be construed as a recognition of valid marriage between the parties.

Disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

30.11.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No