

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-46207 of 2016(O&M)
DECIDED ON : 04.09.2017**

Amarjit Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. V. P. Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Seena Mand, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. A. P. Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

CRM NO. 20947 OF 2017

This is an application for placing on record the affidavit
of complainant Anrudh Singh as Annexure R-3/1.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is
allowed and document Annexure R-3/1 is taken on record,
subject to all just exceptions.

MAIN CASE

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.222
dated 22.09.2016 under Sections 341/325/506/120-B/148/149
IPC registered at Police Station City Rajpura, District Patiala,
Punjab, on the basis of compromise dated 17.12.2016 which has
been arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that FIR is the outcome of a dispute between the tenant and the landlord which has now been resolved. He further states that injuries are on the non-vital parts of the body of complainant.

A co-ordinate Bench of this Court by the order dated 23.12.2016 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqua Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements with regard to veracity of compromise. The report of Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Rajpura dated 20.01.2017 was received, wherein it was stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, out of three accused only Amarjit Singh and the complainant had appeared before the Court and their statements were recorded.

This Court by the order dated 01.08.2017 had again directed the remaining parties to appear before the Illaqua Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statement with regard to veracity of the compromise. Petitioner No.2-Paramjit Singh appeared before the Court and his statement was recorded. The Sub Divisional Judicial Magisttrate, Rajpura, has again sent her report dated 16.08.2017, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, statements of the parties were recorded. As per the statements, compromise has been effected without any fear or undue influence.

In view of the law laid down in "**Narinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab**" 2014 (6) SCC 466, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.222 dated 22.09.2016 under

Sections 341/325/506/120-B/148/149 IPC registered at Police Station City Rajpura, District Patiala, Punjab and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners only.

SEPTEMBER 04, 2017
shalini

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>No</i>