

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46142 of 2016

Date of Decision: May 26, 2017

Jit Singh @ Jeeti @ Ajeet Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurvir Sidhu, AAG, Punjab.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

The allegations against the petitioner in this regular bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C are that during the course of trial the petitioner absented on 18.10.2016 and subsequently appeared on 29.11.2016. The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since more than 06 months and that the de novo trial has been ordered in this case.

Learned State counsel has sought to oppose the grant of bail but has not opposed the proposition of de novo trial in this case and the custody period of the petitioner.

Appreciating the submissions and perusal of the record the petitioner is in custody since more than 06 months though earlier he was on bail and there is order of de novo trial in the case and that trial is not likely to be concluded in near future and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars for this default in appearance

which is not significant, without adverting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition for grant of regular bail is allowed. Petitioner be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court on his furnishing heavy bonds.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 26, 2017
aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No