

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

212

CRM-M-46099-2016

DATE OF DECISION:12.01.2017

SHUBHAM**... Petitioner****V.****STATE OF PUNJAB****... Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr. C.S. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Brar, DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.231 dated 28.12.2015 under Sections 307, 382, 323, 341, 506, 148, 149 IPC, registered at Police Station City Division No.6, Industrial Area, Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that inadvertently in the headnote of the petition, Section 352 has been erroneously mentioned, although the FIR has been registered only in the aforementioned sections. This is not disputed by the learned counsel for the State.

Therefore, the Registry is directed to carry out the necessary correction and delete Section 352 from the headnote of the petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that no injury is attributable to the petitioner and he has been in custody for about three months.

Learned counsel for the State, upon instructions from ASI Rajwant Singh, states that the petitioner has been arrayed as an accused because he was a member of unlawful assembly, although no injury is attributed to the petitioner.

Therefore, as no injury has been attributed to the petitioner and he has been in custody for a period of over three months, I deem it to be a fit case that the petitioner be granted the concession of regular bail.

The petition is allowed. The petitioner shall be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate, Ludhiana. He shall comply with the conditions stipulated under Section 439 Cr.P.C.

January 12, 2017

SwarnjitS

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Note:	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes / No