

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-46002 of 2016

Date of Decision: March 22, 2017

Sandeep

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Raman Chawla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

The allegations against the petitioner in this regular bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C are that on 14.09.2015 petitioner along with his accomplices are alleged to have snatched at gun point a Honda City car bearing No.HR-20C/8581 and two mobile phones make Nokia and Samsung from the complainant party.

The contentions of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 18.5.2016 and that there is not even an iota of evidence to link the petitioner with the commission of offence and that his co-accused Rahul has already been granted similar relief by this Court vide order dated 24.01.2017.

The bail application is opposed by learned State counsel on the ground that the petitioner has committed a heinous offence which disentitles him to any relief.

Appreciating the submissions. It is the own stand of the

State that the only semblance of evidence against the petitioner is statement of his co-accused, legality and validity of which evidence is subject matter of judicial determination together with the fact that trial is not likely to be concluded in near future and no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars, without adverting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition for grant of regular bail is allowed. Petitioner be admitted to bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 22, 2017
aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No