

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-45993-2016
Date of decision-26.05.2017**

Sarbjit Singh and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Jagjit Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioners have sought anticipatory bail in complaint No.COMA-50/16 dated 01.08.2016, registered under Section 18 (a) (i) read with Section 17-B punishable under Section 27 (C) of Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 titled as “State through Drugs Inspector, Pathankot Vs. Sarbjit Singh and others” in which the petitioners have been summoned to face the trial vide summoning order dated 07.10.2016 (Anneuxre P-6).

On 23.05.2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The petitioners are directed to join the investigation.

Post again on 26.05.2017.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioners by the Arresting Officer, they shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

1. That they shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

2. That they shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person

*acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
3. That they shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”*

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 23.05.2017, the petitioners have surrendered before the trial Court and furnished bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned. The order dated 16.02.2017 passed by CJM, Pathankot, filed by learned counsel for the petitioners, is taken on record as mark 'A'.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 23.05.2017, is made absolute.

The petition stands allowed.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

May 26, 2017
vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No