

251

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-45989 of 2016

Date of decision: February 22, 2017

Kulbir

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Surinder Gandhi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. G.S. Salwara, DAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In view of Para 3 of the reply by way of affidavit placed on record, petition cannot be entertained.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has cited a decision in the case of **Dharma versus State of Haryana and another**, 1990 (2) R.C.R. (Criminal) 16.

In my opinion, looking to the fact that the jail is said to be overcrowded and the offences against the petitioner, no ground for allowing the petition, is made out.

Disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

February 22, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No