

**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.45040 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : November 28, 2017**

Jyoti Deswal and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of UT and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioners are in person along with
Ms. Rubi Patil, Advocate for
Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Both the petitioners claim that they have passed out laws recently. Petitioner No.1 belongs to Delhi. It is also claimed that family members of petitioner No.1 are against their marriage. It is further claimed that the father of petitioner No.2 is also a lawyer in this Court.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioners that in case they receive any threat from the family members of petitioner No.1, they can approach the S.H.O. of nearest police station and on receiving of such complaint, the concerned S.H.O. will ensure that no physical harm will be caused to them.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not be taken to be the proof of valid marriage.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

November 28, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No