

249

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4806 of 2015

Date of decision: March 23, 2017

Gurmeet Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. D.R. Punia, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Abhishek Chautala, AAG Punjab.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.89 dated 30.07.2014, under Sections 332, 324, 148, 149, 342 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar, the petitioners seek quashing of the said FIR on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had directed the parties to appear before the Area Magistrate for recording of statements. Pursuant thereto, now, there is a report from learned CJM, Jalandhar stating that the statements have been recorded and the compromise is voluntarily, without any pressure or coercion.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. FIR

No.89 dated 30.07.2014, under Sections 332, 324, 148, 149, 342 of

IPC, registered at Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar alongwith all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

March 23, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No