

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.45787 of 2016 (O&M)  
Date of decision : October 07, 2017**

**Satpal Rana**

**..... Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and another**

**..... Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

**Present:-** Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Makkad, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate for respondent Nos.5 to 7.

Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate for respondent No.8.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

**KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)**

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Vijender states that in this case, charge under Section 307 IPC has been deleted and after investigation all the accused were arrested. Now, challan has been presented.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that since the main accused was the Mayor of Municipal Corporation, Panipat, therefore, he has been favoured by deletion of offence punishable under Section 307 IPC. The remaining offences are bailable with the result that the accused were able to obtain the bail.

In this case, since the challan has been presented in the Court, therefore, the petitioner is given liberty to raise his plea before the trial court for addition of offence punishable under Section 307 IPC. If such plea is

As such, the present petition is disposed of.

sarita

Yes

No