

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -45757 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 20.02.2017

Arashdeep Singh @ Arash

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. M.R. Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab
assisted by HC Darshan Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.279 dated 14.12.2015, registered under Section 382 read with Section 34 of IPC and Sections 25 & 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station City Jagraon, District Ludhiana.

On 22.12.2016, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner was not arrested from the spot. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present FIR on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Hardeep Singh @ Suraj. The recovery of the vehicle allegedly snatched away by the petitioner has already been effected from co-accused Hardeep Singh @ Suraj.

Notice of motion for 20.02.2017.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following conditions:-

- 1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.”*

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 22.12.2016, the petitioner has joined the investigation

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 22.12.2016, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

20.02.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No