

275

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-45732 of 2016****Date of decision: May 10, 2017**

Sonu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**Present:** Mr. Sunil K. Dhanda, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Yadav, AAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.458 dated 08.08.2016, under Sections 147, 148, 149, 285, 323, 34, 341, 452, 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Section 307, 324 IPC added later on) and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station City Fatehabad, District Fatehabad, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 22.12.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from the police official states that pursuant to the said order, the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required.

In that view of the mater, interim order dated 22.12.2016 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE****May 10, 2017**

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No