

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-45619 of 2016

Date of Decision: May 24, 2017

Pritam Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. N.S. Dhandiwal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (ORAL)

Pursuant to the order dated 21.12.2016, the report of the learned Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Moga, is on record, stating therein that the statements of the complainant, Pardeep Kumar (respondent no.2 herein) and of the petitioners, Pritam Singh and Gurmukh Singh, were recorded before that Court, in terms of the compromise entered into between the parties. As per the statement of respondent no.2, he has entered into a compromise without any pressure, undue influence and coercion, with his own free will, which statement has been assessed to be true by that Court.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Head Constable Gurnam Singh, submits that there is no other criminal case seen to be registered against the petitioners.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the matter has amicably been settled between the parties, in the interest of peace and harmony and the offences alleged to have been committed not being such as

would come under the definition of heinous offences, in terms of the ratio of the law laid down by the Supreme Court in the case of **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543, FIR no.88 dated 12.10.2016, registered at Police Station Sadar Moga, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 452/341/323/506/427/148/149 IPC, along with all proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 24, 2017
vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no