

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-4467 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.02.2017

Sultan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana
assisted by SI Shamsheer Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 667 dated 03.12.2016, registered under Sections 323, 326 and 506 read with Section 34 of IPC, at Police Station Gharaunda, District Karnal.

It is contended that the injury attributed to the petitioner is on non vital part. The petitioner is not involved in any other FIR. He is in custody since 18.12.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and states that the petitioner is the main accused and gave injury with sickle to the complainant. Three of the co-accused are yet

to be arrested.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the dispute is on account of trivial incident for parking of the vehicle; the injury attributed to the petitioner is on non vital part; the petitioner is not involved in any other FIR; the challan is yet to be presented, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

15.02.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No