

Sr. No.247

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-45427 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.02.2017

Swati Sinha and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Balbir Singh Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak K. Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

The instant petition was preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for issuance of directions to the official respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of the private respondents on account of having entered into matrimony alliance against the wishes of the elders.

While issuing notice of motion, the following order was passed by this Court on 20.12.2016:

“Petitioners claim that they have attained majority and married against the wishes of their family members. Apprehending threat to their life and liberty, they have filed the present petition for protection.

Notice to the respondents for 22.2.2017.

Meanwhile, an interim direction is issued that the petitioners will not be forcibly taken in custody by any of the respondents on the allegations that petitioner No.1 has been kidnapped or abducted by petitioner No.2, unless and until petitioner no.1 makes a statement to the contrary.”

Even though service report indicates that private respondents No.5 to 7 having not been served yet State counsel has filed in Court today a reply by way of affidavit of the Assistant Commissioner of Police, DLF, Gurugram on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4. The affidavit is taken on record and copy has been supplied and the following categoric stand has been taken:-

“It is further submitted that the representation dated 15.12.2016 (Annexure P-5) has been considered by the answering respondent. The petitioners as well as respondents No.5 to 7 were called by the SHO Police Station DLF Phase-II Gurugram on 16.02.2017 then the petitioners gave in written that they have no danger to their life and liberty from their parents i.e. respondents No.5 to 7 as their parents have recognized their marriage and now they are living together peacefully as husband and wife. It is further submitted that respondents No.5 to 7 have also given in written that they have recognized the marriage of the petitioners and they are organizing a reception party on 06.03.2017 at Bristol Hostel Gurugram.”

In the light of such stand taken on behalf of the State, no further directions are required to be passed in the instant petition and the same is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of.

22.02.2017

vandana

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No