

CRM-M-44486-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-44486-2017

Date of Decision: 28.11.2017

Kuldeep Singh alias Juj

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S.Aulakh, DAG, Punjab.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Kuldeep Singh alias Juj has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.112 dated 17.09.2017, registered at Police Station Chattiwind, District Amritsar Rural, under Sections 307, 324, 323 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record. Police record is also available.

From the record, I find that the present petitioner is not named in the FIR. He has been named in the supplementary statement given later on by the complainant. As per the FIR, three other persons

CRM-M-44486-2017

who came out of the car, were armed with *datars* and sticks. In the supplementary statement also, the complainant is not saying that the present petitioner was armed with revolver or he fired from it.

Learned State counsel submits that in the confessional statement made by the accused before the police, he stated that he was armed with revolver, but no injury is attributed to him and he only tried to cause the injury.

The petitioner has been in custody since 08.10.2017. He is not the main accused. No injury is attributed to him as per the State counsel. Moreover, he is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. Therefore, no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

28.11.2017

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No