

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

111

CRM-M-44481-2017

DATE OF DECISION: 22.11.2017

RAVEENA AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Ms. Monika Jangra, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking a direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from respondents No. 4 & 5.

It is stated in the petition that the petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of the private respondents and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause them physical harm.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn my attention to the representation sent to respondent No. 2 i.e. Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra, dated 20.11.2017 (Annexure P-4).

Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

At the asking of the Court Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Keeping in view the mandate of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P. and another, JT 2006(6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

22.11.2017

SwarnjitS

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No