

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.211

CRM-M No.45324 of 2016

Date of decision: 01.03.2017

Sandeep Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Anterpreet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Yadav, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition is the fourth one filed by the petitioner under Section 439 Cr.P.C., seeking regular bail in FIR No.17 dated 30.01.2013, registered under Sections 302, 201, 34 IPC, at Police Station Adampur, District Jalandhar City.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the charges were framed against the petitioner on 28.02.2013 and the prosecution evidence has been concluded over one year ago. He further submits that the petitioner does not wish to lead any defence evidence and therefore, he submits that he would be satisfied if directions are issued to the trial Court to conclude the trial in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel does not dispute the above factual position.

I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner to be reasonable especially in view of the fact that the prosecution evidence

was concluded over a year ago and the petitioner does not wish to lead any defence evidence.

In the light of the above facts which are peculiar to this case, I request the trial Court to conclude the trial in accordance with law, on the next date fixed before it or as per its convenience within one month thereafter.

The present petition is disposed of in the above terms.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

March 01, 2017

Jyoti 1

(i) Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
(ii) Whether reportable Yes/No