

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-45257 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.03.2017

Amrinder Singh @ Gill

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. AGS Dhillon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the complainant.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 74 dated 14.04.2016, registered under Sections 323, 308, 452 read with Section 34 of IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines, District Patiala.

It is contended that petitioner is not named in the FIR. The petitioner has been introduced in the present FIR on the basis of the identification standing in the vicinity from one Vijay Kumar, ice cream vendor. The petitioner is in custody since 07.05.2016. The challan stand presented.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the

record.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 07.05.2016; the investigation stands concluded; challan stands presented; the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

09.03.2017

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No