

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

206

**CRM-M-44308-2017
DATE OF DECISION: 19.12.2017**

SABIR @ SABBAR

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.202 dated 16.07.2017 registered under Sections 13(1), 13(3) & 3 of the Haryana Gauthansh Sanrakshan and Gauthamvardhan Act, 2015 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Bahin, District Palwal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations in the FIR, which has been lodged on the secret information forwarded by a secret informer, are that the petitioner along with others were selling beef at the house of the co-accused Iqbal. He further contends that it is alleged that he had run away on seeing police party while co-accused Iqbal, who was owner of the house, was arrested later on. No one was apprehended on the spot. He also contends that although the FIR was registered on the secret information forwarded by the secret informer but the secret informer did not accompany the police and the petitioner was not identified by

secret informer or by any other person and the allegations against him are, therefore, false.

Learned counsel for the petitioner relied upon the judgments of the coordinate Benches in the cases of **Samma @ Samim Vs. State of Haryana in CRM-M-11962-2017, Rehmuddin Vs. State of Haryana in CRM-M-13319-2017, Ajruddin Vs. State of Haryana in CRM-M-13655-2017, Jaikam Vs. State of Haryana in CRM-M-14436-2017, Rajuddin Vs. State of Haryana in CRM-M-8734-2017 and Hakam Vs. State of Haryana in CRM-M-44165-2016**, wherein on the basis of similar allegations, accused were granted the concession of anticipatory bail.

This Court, by the order dated 22.11.2017, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Suresh Kumar, states that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has joined investigation, the order dated 22.11.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

19.12.2017

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No