

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

232

CRM-M-45178 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: May 09, 2017

Anjali and another

....Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr. G.S. Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Varun Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (Oral)

The instant petition was preferred under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect their lives and personal liberty by voicing an apprehension and threat to the same at the hands of private respondents on the ground that they have entered into matrimony against the wishes of their elders.

Notice of motion was issued on 16.12.2016.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from Head Constable Parveen Kumar would apprise the Court that the statements of both the petitioners have since been recorded and they have stated that they do not apprehend any threat to their lives and liberty. State counsel further submits that the

petitioners are cohabiting together as a married couple in Amritsar, whereas the private respondents are residents of Delhi.

In the considered view of this Court, no further directions in the present case are required to be passed.

Petition is disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

09.05.2017
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No