

**205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-4430 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 21.03.2017.

Pardeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. B.S. Sodhi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438
the Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.48 dated
23.07.2016 registered under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and
120-B IPC at Police Station Nurmehal, Jalandhar.

On 09.02.2017 this Court had passed the following
order:-

“The learned counsel refers to compromise (Annexure P-2) reached
between the parties and statement (Annexure P-3) made by the
complainant to the effect that the compromise has been reached.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on
behalf of respondent-State. A complete set of paperbook has been
handed over to learned State counsel in the Court.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting
Officer, he shall be released on interim bail subject to the following

conditions:-

- 1.That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- 2.That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;
- 3.That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

Post again on 21.03.2017 ”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 09.02.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of above, the order dated 09.02.2017 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to furnishing of bail-bonds/surety bonds by the petitioner to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

21.03.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No