

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-44275-2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 21.11.2017

Reena and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioners in person with Mr. Inderjeet Singh, Advocate.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 15.11.2017. The true typed translation of Nikahnama dated 15.11.2017 has been placed on file as Annexure-P-3. The true typed copy of Aadhar Card, showing the date of birth of petitioner No. 1 and translation and true typed relevant extract of School Leaving Certificate, showing date of birth of petitioner No. 2, have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2 respectively.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 17.11.2017 (Annexure-P-4) before the police authorities for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar-respondent No. 2, to

CRM No. M-44275-2017 (O/M)

consider the application of the petitioners dated 17.11.2017 (Annexure-P-4) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners. However, this order shall not be construed as a recognition of valid marriage between the parties.

Disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

21.11.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No