

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

204

CRM-M-44259-2017

Date of Decision: 20.12.2017.

Pardeep

...Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Ramendra Jain, J.(Oral)

Through this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C., prayer has been made for grant of regular bail to petitioner Pardeep in case F.I.R. No.166 dated 21.11.2016 registered under Sections 302, 323 and 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Bapoli, District Panipat.

Learned counsel inter-alia contends that co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Sandeep and Vikram have been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 07.10.2017 passed in CRM-M-28055-2017 and dated 16.11.2017 passed in CRM-M-41936-2017. Therefore, treating the case of the petitioners on same parity as that of his co-accused, he may also be granted regular bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel vehemently opposed

the bail to the petitioner.

Considering over all facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything on the merits of the case and treating the case of the petitioner on the same parity as that of his co-accused, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is granted regular bail, during the trial, subject to his furnishing bail bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

20.12.2017
jitender sharma

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No