

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-45114 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.03.2017

Bhuri Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. J.S. Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 46 dated 01.06.2016, registered under Sections 451, 324, 323, 452, 307 read with Section 34 of IPC, at Police Station Dharamgarh, District Sangrur.

It is contended that earlier the petitioner had been admitted to regular bail by the learned Court of SDJM, Sunam, vide order dated 29.06.2016. In the interregnum period, on the basis of the medical report, Section 307 of IPC was added. The petitioner allegedly incited co-accused to cause injury, however, no injury has been attributed to the petitioner nor any recovery was effected from her. This factual aspect of the matter is not disputed by the learned State counsel. The petitioner is in custody since 30.08.2016.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 30.08.2016; the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on her furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

24.03.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No