

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-45089-2016

Date of decision : 12.01.2017

Amrik Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Jatinderpal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Sulinder Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

In response to the notice issued, reply to the petition has been filed and though no reply to the query of the Court is given in the reply filed, however, learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that other than FIR no.422 dated 08.11.2015, no other case has been registered against the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has given the background of the litigation between the petitioners and respondents no.4 to 7 herein, further stating that the dispute actually arises out of partition proceedings before the revenue authorities and in fact upon the partition proceedings having been decided in favour of the petitioners, subsequently a revision petition was filed before the Financial Commissioner Revenue by the respondents, which proceedings were stayed by this Court vide order Annexure P1 dated 04.11.2015. He submits that 4 days thereafter the FIR

He has also referred to other orders of this Court with regard to the on going litigation between the parties, as are annexed with this petition.

This petition is consequently disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Yamunanagar, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners (as also of respondents no.4 to 6), are duly protected and on any apprehension of breach of peace, appropriate measures are taken.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

January 12, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No