

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

291

CRM-M-44211 of 2017

Decided on : 24.11.2017

Varinder Kumar @ Barinder Kumar @ Bandi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present : Mr.Navjot Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr.Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

H.S.Madaan, J. (Oral)

This is a petition moved by the petitioner for grant of interim bail in FIR No.56 dated 12.05.2017 registered under Sections 450, 449, 302, 307, 325, 323, 295, 201, 148, 149 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 27 and 30 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Tapa District Barnala.

It is stated that the marriage of Harpreet Singh, brother-in-law of the petitioner is fixed for tomorrow i.e. 25.11.2017 as such, he be granted interim bail.

This request is being opposed by the State counsel.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and going through the record, I find that keeping in view the nature of allegation, no ground for grant of interim bail to the petitioner is made out. However, the request of learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner be directed to send to the venue of the marriage in custody, can be accepted.

Accordingly, Superintendent, District Jail, Barnala is directed to make necessary arrangement for taking of petitioner in

custody to venue of the marriage on 25.11.2017 from 9:00 a.m. till 5:00 p.m. He is to ensure that adequate security arrangement is made so that the petitioner does not try to escape. The necessary expenses of transport etc. shall be borne by the petitioner.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

Copy of the order be given to the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned State counsel, under the signature of the Spl. Secretary.

[H.S.Madaan]
Judge

24.11.2017
sd

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No