

218

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-45047 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 19.7.2017

Parveen Kumar Saini

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Makkad, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Dharam Singh, states that the name of the petitioner has been deleted during investigation and he is not required in FIR No. 264, dated 28.10.2016, registered under Sections 363, 365 IPC, Police Station Naraingarh.

As such, present petition has become infructuous and is disposed of as such.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

19.7.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No