

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M -45010 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.02.2017

Bharti Pahal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. N.S. Shekhawat, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Naveen Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana
assisted by ASI Ishwar Chander.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought anticipatory bail in FIR No.217 dated 29.10.2016, registered under Sections 416, 419, 420 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station Bilaspur, District Yamuna Nagar.

On 31.01.2017, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The petition was allowed in the open Court. However, while dictating the order, it has come to the notice that only the proposal of arrest had been stayed and as per record, the petitioner has not yet joined the investigation.

In view of the same, the petitioner is allowed to join the investigation. The matter is ordered to be re-listed on 15.02.2017.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner by the Arresting Officer, he shall be released on interim bail

subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. That he shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;*
- 3. That he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.*

The registry is directed to notify the next date of hearing to the learned counsel for the parties.”

It is contended that in pursuance of the order dated 31.01.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation

The learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and she is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 31.01.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

15.02.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable:	Yes	No