

**116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.44118 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : November 20, 2017**

Priyanka Chadha and another Petitioners

Versus

Union Territory Chandigarh and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Petitioners are in person along with
Mr. Amandeep Chhabra, Advocate.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioners, who are stated to be major, claim that they have married against the wishes of their parents on 17.11.2017. The photographs of the marriage have been placed on file as Annexure P-3. The true typed copy of passport of petitioner Nos.1 and 2, showing their date of birth have been placed on file as Annexures-P-1 and P-2 respectively.

It is claimed that the petitioners have moved an application dated 17.11.2017 (Annexure-P-4) before the police authorities for protecting their life and liberty as they apprehend danger to their life from the private respondents.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Sr. Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, to consider the application of the petitioners dated 17.11.2017 (Annexure-P-4) and assess the threat perception to the life and liberty of the petitioners and if it is found that there is danger to the life of the petitioners, necessary steps be taken to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, it is made clear that this order shall not be taken to be the proof of valid marriage. Disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

November 20, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No