

**CRM-M-44991-2016 and
CRM-M-45116-2016**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 02.02.2017

1. CRM-M-44991-2016

Gurjeet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

AND

2. CRM-M-45116-2016

Chamkaur Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners in both the petitions.

Mr. Sandeep Bansal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manuj Nagrath, Advocate,
for the complainant in both the cases.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-44991-2016, filed by petitioner-Gurjeet Singh and CRM-M-45116-2016, filed by petitioner-Chamkaur Singh, under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.08 dated 16.01.2016, registered at Police Station Doraha, Ludhiana, under Sections 307, 323, 325, 506, 120-B, 148 and 149 IPC and Section 302 IPC added later on.

Notice of motion was issued in these petitions. Learned

**CRM-M-44991-2016 and
CRM-M-45116-2016**

State counsel put in appearance on behalf of the respondent-State and the complainant also appeared through his counsel. They contested these petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners; learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant and gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the petitioners have been named in the FIR. Even as per the allegations in the FIR, the petitioners, who were on the tractor, came down and caused injuries. Challan has already been presented, however, charges have not been framed so far. Trial is at the preliminary stage.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case as well as in view of nature and gravity of the offence and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioners are entitled to the benefit of regular bail at this stage. Otherwise also, there is every apprehension that if the petitioners are released on bail, they may tamper with the evidence.

Therefore, finding no merit in these petitions, the same are dismissed at this stage.

02.02.2017
parveen kumar

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No