

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-44976 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: February 08, 2017**

Nitin Goyal

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.Jai Bhagwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Deep Singh, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr.Ankit Kaushal, Advocate
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.72 dated 16.11.2016 under Sections 420, 406 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Sandaur, District Sangrur.

Notice of motion was issued and learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant appeared and contested the petition.

From the record, I find that the allegation against the present petitioner Nitin Goyal is that he entered into agreement to sell and ₹10 lacs was paid to him but he did not execute the sale deed and later on, he sold

the plots in favour of Gurmail Singh.

The petitioner has joined the investigation. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes. The case is based on documentary evidence. The main dispute looks like of civil nature. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioner to custody till the disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find it a fit case, where the petitioner is entitled to benefit of grant of anticipatory bail. Therefore, the present petition is accepted and the order dated 16.12.2016 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

February 08, 2017
Vgulati

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No